



## Appendix 4 – Equality Impact Assessment

Service Area: Environmental Develop	oment Pubic Health		Key person responsible for the assessment: G. Eagle	Date of Assessment: 30.09.10		
Is this assessment in	the Corporate Equa	ity Impact ass	essment Timetable for 2008-11?	Yes	No	
Name of the Policy to Scheme to licence the		ted matter		Is this a new or existing policy	New	
1. Briefly describe the purpose of the policy		flets through a licensing scheme. Thi in Oxford through tight conditions at	the distribution of free printed matter such the distribution of free printed matter such attached to each consent and minimise the distribution of free printed matter.			
2. Are there any asso policy, please explain	-		blicy will also help prevent the distribute transformer to the distribute te irresponsible drinking etc	ution of material that c	ould cause offence	

3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy and in what way				The general public would benefit from cleaner streets and also Oxford City Council will benefit by the reduced use of resources required to keep the street clean. This will permit resources to be diverted into other areas. In addition the public will benefit from the prevention of the distribution of offensive material and leaflets encouraging irresponsible drinking.						
Red Red Red An i enc	luce the adverse en luce the use of reso luced nuisance caus increase in public co ourages irresponsib	ole drinking.	deface	ement o	of litter caused by the distribut	tion of free printed matter. material that is considered offensive and				
-	Vhat factors/forces n the outcomes?	s could contribute/detract	Staffi	ng reso	ource will have a direct effect	on the amount of enforcement.				
рео	Who are the key ple in relation to policy?	General Public City Council Staff tasked with enforcement of the scheme (Environmental Developmen Community Safety) Businesses wishing to distrib	t,	aflets	7. Who implements the policy and who is responsible for the policy?	Graham Eagle Ian Wright				
	could the policy ha ial groups?	ve a differential impact on	Y	<u>NO</u>	No differential impact on rac	ial groups has been identified.				
	What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?		The order is planned to be implemented in specified areas of the city due to the problems caused by leafleting. No racial groups are specifically associated with or targeted by leafleting. It is illegal to distribute material inciting racial hatred and the scheme will also control the distribution of offensive material. Enforcement will be taken in line with the Council's enforcement policy. It is anticipated that any unperceived issues will be raised during the consultation process.							

9. Could the policy have a differential impact on people due to their gender?	Y	NO	No differential impact on people due to their gender has been identified
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	proble target offens policy	ems ca ted at a sive ma v. It is a	planned to be implemented in specified areas of the city due to the used by leafleting. Leafleting is not an activity that is associated with or any particular gender. The scheme will also control the distribution of aterial. Enforcement will be taken in line with the Council's enforcement inticipated that any unperceived issues will be raised during the process.
10. Could the policy have a differential impact on people due to their disability?	Y	<u>NO</u>	No differential impact on people due to their disability has been identified
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	proble with c offens policy	ems ca or targe sive ma v. It is a	planned to be implemented in specified areas of the city due to the used by leafleting. Leafleting is not an activity specifically associated ated at disabled people. The scheme will also control the distribution of aterial. Enforcement will be taken in line with the Council's enforcement inticipated that any unperceived issues will be raised during the process.
11. Could the policy have a differential impact on people due to their sexual orientation?	Y	<u>NO</u>	No differential impact on people due to their sexual orientation has been identified
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	proble with c distrib enfore	ems ca or targe oution c cement	planned to be implemented in specified areas of the city due to the used by leafleting. Leafleting is not an activity specifically associated sted at people's sexual orientation. The scheme will also control the of offensive material. Enforcement will be taken in line with the Council's t policy. It is anticipated that any unperceived issues will be raised during tion process.
12. Could the policy have a differential impact on people due to their age?	Y	NO	No differential impact on people due to their age has been identified
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	proble with c distrib enfore	ems ca or targe oution c cement	planned to be implemented in specified areas of the city due to the used by leafleting. Leafleting is not an activity specifically associated sted at people because of their age. The scheme will also control the of offensive material. Enforcement will be taken in line with the Council's t policy. It is anticipated that any unperceived issues will be raised during tion process.

<ul><li>13. Could the policy have a differential impact on people due to their religious belief?</li><li>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</li></ul>			Y	<u>NO</u>	No different identified.	ial impact on p	people due t	to their religious beli	ef has been
			There is a specific exemption in the legislation where the distribution of material is for political, charitable or religious purposes (so as not to inhibit the right to freedom of expression enshrined in the Human Rights Act 1998)						
14. Could the negative impact identified in 8-13 create the potential for the policy to discriminate against certain groups?	Y	NO	No ne	egative	impacts have	e been identifi	ed in 8-13.		
15. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason	Y	NO	No ne	egative	impacts have	e been identifi	ed in 8-13.		
5 16. Should the policy proceed to a partial impact assessment	Y	<u>NO</u>	If Yes, is there enough evidence to proceed to a full EIA Y   Date on which Partial or Full impact assessment to be completed by						N
17. Are there implications for the Service Plans?	Y	NO			e Service updated	N/A	ta ii F	9. Date copy sent o Equalities Officer n Policy, Performance and Communication	N/A
20. Date reported to Equalities Board:		N/A	Date EB	to Scr	utiny and	N/A	2	1. Date published	N/A

Signed (completing officer)

Signed (Lead Officer) \_\_\_\_\_

## Please list the team members and service areas that were involved in this process:

G. Eagle Team Leader I. Wright Service Manager

This page is intentionally left blank